arXiv:1512.08190v1 [math.AP] 27 Dec 2015

EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS INVOLVING THE P-LAPLACIAN

SEYYED SADEGH KAZEMIPOOR[1] AND MAHBOOBEH ZAKERI[2]

Abstract. We study the existence and multiplicity of solutions to the elliptic system

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + m_1(x)|u|^{p-2}u &= \lambda g_u(x,u,v) \quad x\in\Omega,\\ -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v) + m_2(x)|v|^{p-2}v &= \mu g_v(x,u,v) \quad x\in\Omega,\\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = f_u(x,u,v), \quad |\nabla v|^{p-2}\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} &= f_v(x,u,v) \quad x\in\partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded and smooth domain. Using fibering maps and extracting Palais-Smale sequences in the Nehari manifold, we prove the existence of at least two distinct nontrivial nonnegative solutions.

1. Introduction

In this article, we study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of the quasilinear elliptic system

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + m_1(x)|u|^{p-2}u &= \lambda g_u(x,u,v) \quad x\in\Omega,\\ -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v) + m_2(x)|v|^{p-2}v &= \mu g_v(x,u,v) \quad x\in\Omega,\\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = f_u(x,u,v), \quad |\nabla v|^{p-2}\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} &= f_v(x,u,v) \quad x\in\partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda,\mu>0$, $p>2$, $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with the smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ is the outer normal derivative, $m_1, m_2\in C(\bar\Omega)$ are positive bounded functions together with the following assumptions on the functions f and g :

(A1) $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}f(x,t|u|,t|v|)|_{t=1}\in C(\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^2)$ and for $u,v\in L^p(\partial\Omega)$, the integral $\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\big(f(x,t|u|,t|v|)\big)dx$ has the same sign for every $t>0$.

(A2) There exists $C_1>0$ such that

$$f(x,u,v)\le\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x,tu,tv)|_{t=1}\le\frac{1}{r(r-1)}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}f(x,tu,tv)|_{t=1}\le C_1(u^r+v^r),$$

where $p<r<p^*$ ($p^*=\frac{pN}{N-p}$ if $N>2$, $p^*=\infty$ if $N\le p$) for all $(x,u,v)\in\partial\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^+$.

(A3) $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x,tu,tv)|_{t=0}\ge 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x,tu,tv)}{t^{p-1}}=\eta(x,u,v)$ uniformly respect to (x,u,v), where $\eta(x,u,v)\in C(\bar\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $|\eta(x,u,v)|>\theta>0$, a.e. for all $(x,u,v)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^+$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B38, 34B18, 35J92.

Key words and phrases. Critical points; nonlinear boundary value problems; quasilinear p-Laplacian problem; fibering map; Nehari manifold.

(A4) $g_u(x,u,v), g_v(x,u,v) \in C^1(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $g_u(x,0,0) \geq 0$, $g_v(x,u,v) \geq 0$, $g_u(x,0,0) \not\equiv 0$ and there exist $C_2 > 0$, $C_3 > 0$ such that, $|g_u(x,u,v)| \leq C_2(1+u^{p-1})$ and $|g_v(x,u,v)| \leq C_3(1+v^{p-1})$, where $x \in \Omega$, $u,v \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $p > 2$.

(A5) For $u,v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $\int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g_u(x,t|u|,t|v|)u^2dx$ and $\int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial v} g_v(x,t|u|,t|v|)v^2dx$ have the same sign for every $t > 0$ and there exist $C_4 > 0$, $C_5 > 0$ such that $|g_u(x,u,v)| \leq C_4u^{p-2}$ and $|g_v(x,u,v)| \leq C_5v^{p-2}$ for all $(x,u,v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$.

(A6) There exist $a_i \geq 0, c_i \geq 0 (i = 1,2)$ such that

$$0 \leq g_u(x,u,v) \leq a_1|(u,v)|^{r-1} + c_1, 0 \leq g_v(x,u,v) \leq a_2|(u,v)|^{r-1} + c_2$$

(A7) There existence an $\epsilon^{'} > 0$, $c_3 > 0$, $p < \varsigma < p^*$ such that

$$g_u(x,u,v)u + g_v(x,u,v)v \leq (\lambda_1 - \epsilon')(|u|^p + |v|^p) + c_3(|u|^\varsigma + |v|^\varsigma)$$

where λ_1 stands for the first eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta_p$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

(A8) $g_u(x,u,v)$ and $g_v(x,u,v)$ also satisfies

$$\liminf_{|(u,v)|\to\infty} \frac{g_u(x,u,v)}{|(u,v)|^{p-1}} = +\infty, \quad \liminf_{|(u,v)|\to\infty} \frac{g_v(x,u,v)}{|(u,v)|^{p-1}} = +\infty.$$

Define the Sobolev space

$$W := W^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W^{1,p}(\Omega), \tag{1.2}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|(u,v)\|_W = \Big(\int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^p + m_1(x)|u|^p)dx + \int_\Omega (|\nabla v|^p + m_2(x)|v|^p)dx\Big)^{1/p},$$

which is equivalent to the standard norm. We use the standard $\mathrm{L}^r(\Omega)$ spaces whose norms are denoted by $\|u\|_r$. Throughout this paper, we denote S_q and $\bar{S}_q$ the best Sobolev and the best Sobolev trace constants for the embedding of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $\mathrm{L}^q(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $\mathrm{L}^q(\partial\Omega)$, respectively. So we have

$$\frac{(\|(u,v)\|_W^p)^q}{(\int_{\partial\Omega}(|u|^q + |v|^q)dx)^p} \geq \frac{1}{2^p\bar{S}_q^{pq}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(\|(u,v)\|_W^p)^q}{(\int_\Omega(|u|^q + |v|^q)dx)^p} \geq \frac{1}{2^pS_q^{pq}}. \tag{1.3}$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists $K^* \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^2$ such that for each $(\lambda,\mu) \in K^*$ problem (1.1) has at least one positive solution.*

Theorem 1.2. *There exists $K^{**} \subset K^*$ such that for each $(\lambda,\mu) \in K^{**}$ problem (1.1) has at least two distinct positive solutions.*

Definition 1.3. A pair of functions $(u,v) \in W$ (W is given by (1.2)) is said to be a weak solution of (1.1), whenever

$$\int_\Omega \big(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u.\nabla\varphi_1 + m_1(x)|u|^{p-2}u\varphi_1\big)dx - \lambda\int_\Omega g_u(x,u,v)\varphi_1dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} f_u(x,u,v)\varphi_1dx = 0,$$
$$\int_\Omega \big(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v.\nabla\varphi_2 + m_2(x)|v|^{p-2}v\varphi_2\big)dx - \mu\int_\Omega g_v(x,u,v)\varphi_2dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} f_v(x,u,v)\varphi_2dx = 0,$$

for all $(\varphi_1,\varphi_2) \in W$.

Associated with problem (1.1), we consider the energy functional $J_{\lambda,\mu} : W \to \mathbb{R}$

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(u,v) = \frac{1}{p}M(u,v) - F(u,v) - (\lambda+\mu)\int_\Omega g(x,|u|,|v|)dx, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(u,v) &= \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^p + m_1(x)|u|^p)dx + \int_\Omega (|\nabla v|^p + m_2(x)|v|^p)dx, \\ F(u,v) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x,|u|,|v|)dx. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Since $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ is unbounded from below on whole space W, it is useful to consider the functional on the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega) = \{(u,v) \in W \setminus \{(0,0)\} : \langle J'_{\lambda,\mu}(u,v), (u,v)\rangle = 0\}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the usual duality between W and W^{-1} (W^{-1} is the dual space of the Sobolev space W). Moreover, by definition, we have that $(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &M(u,v) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \big(f_u(x,|u|,|v|)|u| + f_v(x,|u|,|v|)|v|\big)dx \\ &- (\lambda+\mu)\int_\Omega g(x,|u|,|v|)(|u|,|v|)dx = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

Theorem 1.4. *$J_{\lambda,\mu}$ is coercive and bounded from below on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$ for λ and μ sufficiently small.*

It can be proved that the points in $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$ correspond to the stationary points of the fibering map $\phi_{u,v}(t) : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\phi_{u,v}(t) = J_{\lambda,\mu}(tu,tv)$, which discussed in Brown and Zhang [3]. Using (1.4) for $(u,v) \in W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{u,v}(t) &= J_{\lambda,\mu}(tu,tv) \\ &= \frac{t^p}{p}M(u,v) - F(tu,tv) - (\lambda+\mu)\int_\Omega g(x,t|u|,t|v|)dx = 0, \\ \phi'_{u,v}(t) &= t^{p-1}M(u,v) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla f(x,t|u|,t|v|).(|u|,|v|)dx \\ &\quad - (\lambda+\mu)\int_\Omega \nabla g(x,t|u|,t|v|).(|u|,|v|)dx, \\ \phi''_{u,v}(t) &= (p-1)t^{p-2}M(u,v) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{F}(x,tu,tv)dx \\ &\quad - (\lambda+\mu)\int_\Omega (g_{uu}u^2 + g_{vv}v^2 + 2g_{uv}|uv|)dx, \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(x,tu,tv) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\big(f(x,t|u|,t|v|) = f_{uu}u^2 + f_{vv}v^2 + 2f_{uv}|uv|. \tag{1.9}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,\mu} &= \{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega) : \phi''_{u,v}(1) > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,\mu} &= \{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega) : \phi''_{u,v}(1) < 0\}, \\ \mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,\mu} &= \{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega) : \phi''_{u,v}(1) = 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Lemma 1.5. *Let (u_0, v_0) be a local minimizer for $J_{\lambda,\mu}(u, v)$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$. If (u_0, v_0) is not in $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$, then (u_0, v_0) is a critical point of $J_{\lambda,\mu}$.*

Lemma 1.6. *There exists $K_0 \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^2$ such that for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in K_0$, we have $\mathcal{N}^0_{\lambda,\mu} = \emptyset$.*

Definition 1.7. A sequence $y_n = (u_n, v_n) \subset W$ is called a Palais-Smale sequence if $I_{\lambda,\mu}(y_n)$ is bounded and $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(y_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. If $I_{\lambda,\mu}(y_n) \to c$ and $I'_{\lambda,\mu}(y_n) \to 0$, then y_n is a $(PS)_c$-sequence. It is said that the functional $I_{\lambda,\mu}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (or $(PS)_c$-condition), if each Palais-Smale sequence ($(PS)_c$-sequence) has a convergent subsequence.

Lemma 1.8. *If $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is a $(PS)_c$-sequence for $J_{\lambda,\mu}$, then $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in W provided that $(\lambda, \mu) \in K_1 = \{(\lambda, \mu) : r - p - 4r(C_8\lambda + C_9\mu)S_p^p > 0\}$.*

Lemma 1.9. *There exists $K_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that if $(\lambda, \mu) \in K_2$ and $(u, v) \in N^-_{\lambda,\mu}$, then $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{F}(x, u, v)dx > 0$, where $\mathcal{F}(x, u, v)$ is defined by (1.9).*

To obtain a better understanding of the behavior of fibering maps, we will describe the nature of the derivative of the fibering maps for all possible signs of $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{F}(x, tu, tv)dx$ (by (A1) and (1.9), $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{F}(x, tu, tv)dx$ has the same sign for every $t > 0$). Define the functions $R(t)$ and $S(t)$ as follows

$$R(t) := \frac{1}{p}t^p M(u, v) - F(tu, tv) \quad (t > 0), \tag{1.11}$$

$$S(t) := (\lambda + \mu) \int_\Omega g(x, t|u|, t|v|)dx \quad (t > 0), \tag{1.12}$$

then from (1.8) it follows that $\phi_{u,v}(t) = R(t) - S(t)$. Moreover, $\phi'_{u,v}(t) = 0$ if and only if $R'(t) = S'(t)$, where

$$R'(t) = t^{p-1}M(u, v) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \Big(f_u(x, t|u|, t|v|)|u| + f_v(x, t|u|, t|v|)|v|\Big)dx, \tag{1.13}$$

and

$$S'(t) = (\lambda + \mu) \int_\Omega g(x, t|u|, t|v|)(|u|, |v|)dx. \tag{1.14}$$

Lemma 1.10. *There exists $K_3 \subset (\mathbb{R}^+)^2$ such that for all nonzero $(u, v) \in W$, $\phi_{u,v}(t)$ and $\phi'_{u,v}(t)$ take on positive values whenever $(\lambda, \mu) \in K_3$.*

Corollary 1.11. *If $(\lambda, \mu) \in K_2 \cap K_3$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $J_{\lambda,\mu}(u, v) > \epsilon$ for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,\mu}$.*

Corollary 1.12. *for $(u, v) \in W \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ we have*

(i) *If $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{F}(x, tu, tv)dx \le 0$, then there exists t_1 such that $(t_1u, t_1v) \in N^+_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $\phi_{u,v}(t_1) < 0$.*

(ii) *If $\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{F}(x, tu, tv)dx \ge 0$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \in K_3$, then there exist $0 < t_1 < t_2$ such that $(t_1u, t_1v) \in N^+_{\lambda,\mu}$, $(t_2u, t_2v) \in N^-_{\lambda,\mu}$ and $\phi_{u,v}(t_1) < 0$.*

2. Main results

Lemma 2.1. (i) *For $(\lambda, \mu) \in K^* = K_0 \cap K_1 \cap K_3$, there exists a minimizer of $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ on $\mathcal{N}^+_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$.*

(ii) *For* $(\lambda,\mu)\in K^{**}=K_0\cap K_1\cap K_2\cap K_3$*, there exists a minimizer of* $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ *on* $\mathcal{N}^-_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.1 (i) there exists $(u_1,v_1)\in N^+_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$ such that $J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_1,v_1)=\inf_{(u,v)\in N^+_{\lambda,\mu}}J_{\lambda,\mu}(u,v)$ and by Lemmas 1.5 and 1.6, (u_1,v_1) is a critical point of $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ on W and hence is a weak solution of problem (1.1). On the other hand $J_{\lambda,\mu}(u,v)=J_{\lambda,\mu}(|u|,|v|)$, so we may assume that (u_1,v_1) is a positive solution and the proof is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 2.1 there exist $(u_1,v_1)\in N^+_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$ and $(u_2,v_2)\in N^-_{\lambda,\mu}(\Omega)$ such that

$$J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_1,v_1)=\inf_{(u,v)\in N^+_{\lambda,\mu}}J_{\lambda,\mu}(u,v),\quad J_{\lambda,\mu}(u_2,v_2)=\inf_{(u,v)\in N^-_{\lambda,\mu}}J_{\lambda,\mu}(u,v).$$

By Lemmas 1.5 and 1.6, (u_1,v_1) and (u_2,v_2) are critical points of $J_{\lambda,\mu}$ on W and hence are weak solutions of problem (1.1). Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we may assume that (u_1,v_1) and (u_2,v_2) are positive solutions. Also since $N^+_{\lambda,\mu}\cap N^-_{\lambda,\mu}=\emptyset$, this implies that (u_1,v_1) and (u_2,v_2) are distinct and the proof is complete. □

References

[1] A. Aghajani, J. Shamshiri;*Multiplicity of Positive Solutions for Quasilinear Elliptic p-Laplacian Systems*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 111 (2012) 1-16.

[2] H. Brezis; *Functional Analysis*, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Springer, New York, 2010.

[3] K. J. Brown, Y. Zhang; *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic problem with a sign changing weight function*, J. Differential Equations 193 (2003) 481-499.

[4] M. F. Furtado, J. P. P. da Silva; *Multiplicity of solutions for homogeneous elliptic systems with critical growth*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 385 (2012) 770-785.

[1] Department of Mathematics sciences, Guilan University, Iran
E-mail address: S.Kazemipoor@umz.ac.ir

[2] Department of Mathematics sciences, Guilan University, Iran
E-mail address: Mzakeri.math@gmail.com